



**CSX/VAUGHN LANDFILL AND  
BRAMLETTE ROAD MGP SITES**

**FEASE III INVESTIGATION AND  
SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT**

**VOLUME II**

**PREPARED BY:**

**SITE REMEDIATION SERVICES GROUP  
DUKE ENGINEERING & SERVICES, INC.  
400 SOUTH TRYON STREET  
P.O. BOX 1004  
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA  
28201-1004**

**JUNE 2000**

---



**APPENDIX  
A**

**CORRESPONDENCE**



2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, SC 29201-1708

COMMISSIONER:  
Douglas E. Bryant

BOARD:  
John H. Burriss  
Chairman

William M. Hull, Jr., MD  
Vice Chairman

Roger Leaks, Jr.  
Secretary

Mark B. Kent

Cyndi C. Mosteller

Brian K. Smith

Rodney L. Grandy

November 13, 1998

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING  
AND PLANNING SECTION  
NOV 17 1998

FILE \_\_\_\_\_  
 DEALER DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 COPY \_\_\_\_\_  
 ROUTE \_\_\_\_\_

Duke Power  
Attn: Ralph Roberts, P.E.  
526 S. Church St.  
Charlotte, NC 28202-1802

Re: CSXT-Bramlette Road/Vaughn Landfill, Site ID #00801  
Phase III Workplan received April 10, 1997  
Site Visit/Meeting on October 29, 1998  
Greenville County

Dear Mr. Roberts:

The Department has reviewed the referenced workplan proposal. The plan is approved as submitted with the exception that the Department is requesting one additional surface water sampling location in the Reedy River as discussed in the referenced meeting. Enclosed is a monitoring well approval for the installation of up to twenty-five (25) permanent shallow, mid-depth and deep monitoring wells at the referenced site. Please ensure that a copy of this approval is available at the site during installation activities. The analytical results from soil and groundwater samples should be submitted to my attention within thirty (30) days of receipt from the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this project please contact me at (803) 734-4666.

Sincerely,

Sarah W. Price, Hydrogeologist  
Groundwater Quality Section  
Bureau of Water

cc: Marshall Williams, Director Environmental Real Estate Transactions, 301  
West Bay Street, Suite 800, Jacksonville, FL 32202  
Charles Bristow, Appalachia II District EQC



Date of Issue: November 13, 1998  
Approval No: 300

2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, SC 29201-1708

### Monitoring Well Installation Approval

COMMISSIONER:  
Douglas E. Bryant

BOARD:  
John H. Burriss  
Chairman

William M. Hull, Jr., MD  
Vice Chairman

Roger Leaks, Jr.  
Secretary

Mark B. Kent

Cyndi C. Mosteller

Brian K. Smith

Rodney L. Grandy


Approval is hereby granted to: **Ralph Roberts**  
(on behalf of): **Duke Power**  
Site ID#: **00801**  
County: **Greenville**

This approval is for the construction of up to twenty-five (25) permanent monitoring wells in accordance with the construction plans and technical specifications submitted to the Department on April 10, 1997. The well(s) are to be constructed within the surficial aquifer for the intended purpose of monitoring groundwater quality, free product thickness, and/or water level(s) at the referenced facility. Approval is provided with the following conditions:

1. The surveyed elevations, boring and/or geologist logs and actual (as built) construction details for each well be submitted to Sarah Price within thirty (30) days of completion (of last well(s) installed).
2. Well construction and sampling derived waste including, but not necessarily limited to, drill cuttings, drilling fluids, development and purge water should be managed properly and in compliance with applicable requirements. If containerized, each vessel should be clearly labeled with regard to contents, source, and date of activity.
3. A minimum of forty-eight (48) hours prior to initiation of drilling activities, please provide notice to Charles Bristow, Appalachia II District, EQC Office (843-241-1090).
4. Please provide groundwater quality analytical data (chemical analyses and/or water level(s)) and associated measurements (i.e., *in-situ* field measurements) to Sarah Price within thirty (30) days of receipt from laboratory.
5. Monitoring wells shall be installed by a well driller certified by the State of South Carolina.
6. Each well shall be labeled with an identification plate constructed of a durable material affixed to the casing or surface pad where it is readily visible. The plate shall provide monitoring well I.D.#, date of construction, static water level, and driller name and state certification number.
7. Wells shall be abandoned per R.61-71.10.

This approval is pursuant to the provisions of Section 44-55-40 of the 1976 South Carolina Code of Laws and the Department of Health and Environmental Control Regulations R.61-71.

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_

  
B. Thomas Knight, P.G., Manager  
Groundwater Quality Section  
Bureau of Water

swp



**Duke Power**  
*Environment, Health & Safety*  
526 South Church Street  
P.O. Box 1006  
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

February 22, 1999

Dr. Randall Dozier  
The School District of Greenville County  
301 Camperdown Way  
Box 2848  
Greenville, SC 29602-2848

Re: Bramlette Rd. MGP  
Fine Arts School

Dear Dr. Dozier:

Duke Power will start an environmental assessment of the former Bramlette Road Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) site the third week of February 1999. This site is at the intersection of Bramlette Road and Washington Avenue and is across the street from the School District of Greenville County's Fine Arts School. The assessment will include the installation of twenty-two monitoring wells and the collection of ground water, surface water and soil samples. The purpose of the assessment is to determine where residues from the former MGP operation are located so that a cleanup plan can be developed. The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) has approved the assessment work plan.

We have no reason to think there is an environmental or health problem at the school. First, MGP activities did not take place on the school property. Also, because the school is not down hill from the site, migration of contaminants to the school is not expected.

To confirm contaminants have not migrated to school property we plan to install two monitoring wells on the south side of Bramlette Road and east of the marsh area. If the well locations are on school property we will contact you.

Thank you for your support with this project. If you have any questions please give George Acker a call at 370-4544 or you can contact me at (704) 373-7888.

Sincerely,

Ralph Roberts  
Environment, Health and Safety



**Duke Power**  
*Environment, Health & Safety*  
526 South Church Street  
P.O. Box 1006  
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

April 14, 1999

Mr. Leroy Lewis  
Director Operations/Maintenance  
The School District of Greenville County  
2 Space Drive  
Taylors, SC 29687

Re: Bramlette Rd. MGP  
Fine Arts School

Dear Mr. Lewis:

Duke Power has been installing groundwater-monitoring wells as part of an environmental assessment of the former Bramlette Road manufactured gas plant site. This site is at the intersection of Bramlette Road and Washington Avenue and is across the street from the School District of Greenville County's Fine Arts School.

As we discussed in mid February, the planned location for two of the wells was south of Bramlette Road and east of the marsh area. A review of the tax property maps indicates that these wells will be located on school system property. Duke will provide you with a copy of the groundwater monitoring results when we receive them from the analytical laboratory.

Thank you for your support with this project. If you have any questions please contact me at (704) 373-7888.

Sincerely,

Ralph Roberts  
Environment, Health and Safety





**APPENDIX  
B**

**Phase III Workplan**

**CSX/VAUGHN LANDFILL AND  
BRAMLETTE ROAD, MGP SITE  
PHASE III WORKPLAN**

**MARCH 21, 1997**

**CSX/VAUGHN LANDFILL AND  
BRAMLETTE ROAD, MGP SITE  
PHASE III WORKPLAN**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1.0 INTRODUCTION**
  - 1.1 Site Description and History**
  - 1.2 Previous Investigations**
  - 1.3 Scope and Objectives**
  
- 2.0 FAUNAL STUDY**
  - 2.1 Background**
  - 2.2 Rational and Study Design**
  
- 3.0 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING**
  - 3.1 Previous Sampling and Results**
  - 3.2 Proposed Sample Locations**
  - 3.3 Sample Collection and Analysis**
  
- 4.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION**
  - 4.1 Previous Investigations**
  - 4.2 Monitoring Well Locations**
    - 4.2.1 MGP Site**
    - 4.2.2 CSX/Vaughn Landfill Site**
  - 4.3 Well Construction**
  - 4.4 Sample Collection and Analysis**

5.0 SOIL SAMPLING

6.0 SCHEDULE

#### LIST OF FIGURES

1. Site Location
2. USGS Topographic Map
3. Faunal Study Proposed Sample Locations
4. Surface Water Proposed Sample Locations
5. Proposed Monitoring Well Locations
6. Shallow Well Construction Details
7. Mid Depth Well Construction Details
8. Deep Well Construction Details

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- I. SCDHEC Letter
- II. Ground Water Sampling Procedure

**CSX/VAUGHN LANDFILL AND  
BRAMLETTE ROAD, MGP SITE  
PHASE III WORKPLAN**

**1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This workplan describes the various field and laboratory tasks to be included in the Phase III site investigation of the former Bramlette Rd. Manufactured Gas Plant and Vaughn Landfill sites in Greenville, South Carolina.

**1.1 Site Description and History**

The Bramlette Road Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) site is located in the north-west quadrant of the Bramlette Road and West Washington Street intersection in the City View section of Greenville, SC. The Vaughn Landfill site is located approximately 800 feet west of the intersection and south of Bramlette Rd. (Figures 1 and 2).

Both sites are owned by CSX Transportation (CSXT) and are part of more extensive CSXT holdings in the vicinity of Bramlette Rd. and east of the railway right-of-way, totaling approximately 40 acres. The MGP site covers an area of 3.69 acres and the landfill covers an area of approximately seven acres.

The MGP site was developed by Southern Public Utilities in 1917. The plant site plan is shown in Figure 3. Plant ownership and operation transferred to Duke Power Company (DPC) in 1935. Piedmont Natural Gas Company purchased the site in 1951 and demolished the gas plant in the late 1950's. The property was sold to Piedmont and Northern Railway in 1963 which became part of the Seaboard Cost Line (CSX) in 1967.

The site was used as a trucking facility during the 1970's and 1980's. The property is currently vacant. Access is restricted with a fence.

The Vaughn Landfill site was developed as an unpermitted demolition landfill in 1988. The depth of debris varies from eight to 14 feet. It is located in the flood plain of the Reedy River. The flood plain has been classified as a wetland by the Army Corps of Engineers (ACE).

## 1.2 Previous Investigations

A phase I investigation was completed by Applied Engineering and Science Inc. (AES) in early 1995. The investigation included 34 soil borings and seven groundwater samples in the landfill and seven soil borings and four surface water samples from the floodplain immediately adjacent to the landfill. Analytical results indicated impact to soil and water by volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds and metals. Results of the investigation are presented in an AES report dated March 1995 and titled "Site Investigation; Soil, Sediment, and Groundwater Sampling; Vaughn Landfill, CSX Real Property."

A phase II investigation was completed by AES in 1996. It included a biological survey in the landfill/wetlands area, the installation of eight monitoring wells to assess groundwater quality, an assessment of the extent of the coal tar in the soil and groundwater in both the landfill area and the former MGP site and a site characterization and a contaminant pathway evaluation. The investigation results are presented in an AES report dated September 1996 entitled "Site Investigation Phase II Vaughn Landfill/Duke Power Sites CSXT Real Properties Bramlette Road Greenville, South Carolina."

### 1.3 Scope and Objectives

The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) provided comments to the Phase II Assessment Report and suggestions for additional work in a letter dated December 6, 1996 from Tom Knight to Charles Bristow (Appendix I). Additional guidance was provided in a meeting with the SCDHEC, CSXT, AES, the ACE and DPC held December 18, 1996. The SCDHEC requests additional information on the following:

- Evaluate the potential impact to the fauna from the site contaminants.
- Determine the horizontal and vertical extent of the groundwater contaminant plume.
- Determine the extent of free product coal tar.
- Resample monitoring wells and surface water. Include analyses for Fe and Mn.

The basic objective of Phase III is to collect the data necessary to develop a corrective action plan.

## 2.0 FAUNAL STUDY

### 2.1 Background

The CSXT/Vaughn Landfill site was identified as containing approximately 40 acres of jurisdictional wetland by the ACE in 1994. Results of an investigation by AES indicates the site has been impacted by coal tar residues originating from the former DPC MGP. The site investigation included an evaluation of the effects of the coal tar residue on the

flora of the wetlands. This study was conducted by Environmental Corporation of America. SCDHEC has recommended that the Phase III investigation include a faunal survey of the impacted wetland and compare the results to a nearby wetland not impacted with coal tar residues. Discussions with the Army Corps of Engineers revealed that Chewacla soils (which are present at the CSX site) are not common in this area and finding a comparable control wetland with this soil type would be difficult or impossible. Because of this constraint, we propose to conduct an intensive faunal survey of the CSX site and compare our finding to those reported in the scientific literature.

## 2.2 Rational and Study Design

The site vegetation evaluation by Environmental Corporation of America indicated that most of the wetlands area had some standing water during their sampling work, with deeper water in drainage ditches located on the site. The standing water would leach any soluble coal tar constituents from the soil and also potentially receive coal tar constituents from the groundwater.

This proposed study will sample the fauna which comes in direct contact with the soil (amphibians), mud (amphibians, macrobenthos and some fish) and the water column (fish and zooplankton). Sampling animals from these habitats should represent the "worst case" scenarios, where exposure to coal tar residues would be the greatest.

Two locations are proposed to be sampled. One site will be adjacent to the CSXT/Vaughn Landfill in an area known to be impacted by coal tar residues, based on the investigations by AES and Environmental Corporation of America. The second site is a wetland area along the southern section of Ditch 5 near monitoring well MW5 south of the coal tar plume identified in the 1996 phase II report (Figure 3).



Sampling will consist of backpack shocking or seining for fish, dip netting for frogs and salamanders and net sampling for zooplankton. Aquatic insects will be sampled using qualitative techniques with comparable level of efforts expended at each sampling location. Sampling will be conducted along transects in the two areas. Water samples will be taken at the time of faunal sampling and analyzed for the parameters listed in Section 3.3.

### 3.0 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING

#### 3.1 Previous Sampling and Results

Four surface water samples were collected for the Phase I study (Figure 4). Two samples (WE001 and WE002) from the wetlands east of the Vaughn Landfill and two samples (WW001 and WW002) from the wetlands west of the Vaughn Landfill. These samples were generally analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), volatile organic compounds (VOC), semi-volatile organic compounds (S-VOC) and Metals. Results were below the detection limit for VOC and S-VOC. The metals Pb, Se and Ba were above the MCL for one or more of the samples. TPH was detected in three samples at concentrations of 4.5 to 40 ppm.

Four surface water samples were collected for the Phase II study (Figure 4). Two samples from the Reedy River (RR1 and RR2). Both samples were below the detection limit for VOC. Both samples contained low levels (<120 parts per billion (ppb)) of Di-N-Butylphthalate (DBP) and the upstream sample also contained 20 ppb Butylbenzylphthalate (BBP). Both compounds are not typically associated with MGP sites. One surface water sample (FD1) was collected from the end of Ditch 5 near where it discharges to the Reedy River. An additional sample (WD1) was collected from a small ditch draining Willard St. and discharging to Ditch 5. Both of these samples

contained low levels DBP and BBP. The Ditch 5 sample also contained nine ppb of Naphthalene.

### 3.2 Proposed Sample Locations

A total of nine surface water samples are proposed for this work plan (Figure 4). All surface water samples will be "grab" samples.

To determine if the Reedy River has been impacted by discharges from the MGP and Vaughn Landfill sites, two samples will be collected from the river at the locations sampled in the Phase II investigation. One sample will be collected where the river passes under Bramlette St. This sample will be considered as a background sample since surface and ground water flows from the MGP and Vaughn Landfill sites are believed to intersect the Reedy River downgradient from this location. A second Reedy River sample will be collected where the river passes under Willard St. This location is less than fifty yards downstream from where Ditch 5, which is the surface water outflow from the MGP and Vaughn sites, enters the Reedy River.

The end of Ditch 5 will be sampled at the location sampled in Phase II. An additional sample will be collected from Ditch 5 near the location of monitoring well MW-5 and the faunal study location.

Surface water samples will also be collected from Ditch 1 and Ditch 2 where each ditch passes under Bramlette Road. These samples will give an indication of the water quality for some of the water flowing into the wetlands area. It should be noted that additional surface water enters the wetlands area from the railroad right-of-way east of the wetlands and from industrial and residential properties along Washington St. east of the wetlands. Samples are not planned for these areas.

One surface water sample will be collected from the wetlands area east of the Vaughn Landfill, near Ditch 4 and former surface water sample WE002, one sample will be collected from the wetlands area west of the landfill near monitoring well MW-6 and former surface water sample WW002. An additional surface water sample will be collected from the area selected for the faunal study near the landfill.

### 3.3 Sample Collection and Analysis

Surface water samples will be collected by Duke Power Company, Scientific Services. In-situ analysis of surface water samples will be conducted using a Hydrolab® Water Quality Analyzer. Parameters measured will include temperature, pH, Specific Conductance and dissolved oxygen

Surface water samples will be analyzed by Duke Power Company, Laboratory Services, Huntersville, NC, SCDHEC certification # 99005.

Surface water samples will be analyzed for VOC following EPA Method 601/602 and for S-VOC following EPA Method 625.

Surface water samples will also be analyzed for the following total dissolved metals following appropriate EPA Methodology: Barium, Calcium, Iron, Potassium, Magnesium, Manganese, Sodium Tin, Zinc, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Nickel, Lead, Arsenic, Selenium and Mercury. Samples will also be analyzed for Chloride, Ammonia, Acidity, Alkalinity, Cyanide, Sulfate, Oil and Grease, Total Suspended Solids Total Dissolved Solids and Total Organic Carbon.

## 4.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

The proposed groundwater investigation is designed to determine the vertical and horizontal extent of the groundwater contaminant plume and to determine the extent of free product coal tar.

### 4.1 Previous Investigations

Seven groundwater samples were collected for the Phase I investigation. All samples were collected from the Vaughn Landfill using either pits or temporary boreholes. Samples were analyzed for VOC, S-VOC, PCB and metals. Three sample locations (LF23A, LF25A and LF27A) detected VOC above state standards and two sample locations (LF23A and LF27A) detected S-VOC above recommended levels.

Seven shallow groundwater monitoring wells and one deep groundwater monitoring well were constructed for the Phase II investigation (Figure 5). One well (MW7) was located on the former MGP site. Four wells were located on the Vaughn Landfill, including one deep well. One well was located upgradient and east of the landfill and two wells were located west of the landfill between the Reedy River and the landfill. The wells were checked for free product and analyzed for VOC and S-VOC.

The deep monitoring well (MW3D) contained approximately three inches of free product tar. Three wells, one shallow (MW3) and the deep well on the landfill and the well at the MGP site, exceeded MCLs for VOC and five wells (all of the wells on the landfill and the well on the MGP site) exceeded the recommended concentrations for S-VOC.

## 4.2 Monitoring Well Locations

### 4.2.1 MGP Site

One shallow monitoring well currently exists at the MGP site. Sample analytical results exceeded state standards for VOC and recommended levels for S-VOC in that well. To determine the horizontal extent of contamination at the MGP site five new shallow monitoring wells are proposed (Figure 5). These wells are generally placed in each corner of the site plus one in the middle of the site near an area of heavily stained soil between the former retort house and purifier boxes. Existing monitoring well MW7 was placed near the former tar separators, the area most likely to have the highest contaminant concentrations and the potential for free product. The shallow monitoring wells will be screened to intersect the water table. See Section 4.3 for well construction details.

To determine if a dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL), which is the expected form of free product coal tar, exists at the MGP site, mid depth wells will be nested with each of the proposed shallow monitoring wells and the existing shallow well MW7. The mid depth wells will terminate at the stiff saprolite confining layer identified in MW3D or at the first significant confining layer. This is the location free product tar would be expected to accumulate. An additional deep well will be installed near MW7 which will terminate at the top of bedrock. This well will be used to determine if any DNAPL has migrated past the saprolite to the top of bedrock. This well will also help determine the vertical extent of contamination. See Section 4.3 for deep well construction details.

### 4.2.2 CSX/Vaughn Landfill Site

To further define the horizontal extent of dissolved contaminants at the water table three new shallow wells are proposed (Figure 5). There is potential that contaminants have migrated in a narrow band along Ditch 5. To help define the southern boundary of the

contaminant plume a well is proposed to be located adjacent to Ditch 5 about even with existing monitoring well MW5. Previous sampling results for MW5 indicated a trace of naphthalene (12 ppb) with all other contaminants of concern below the detection limit. Results of a previous soil sample (WW12) between the proposed well location and the toe of the landfill had no indication of contamination. A soil sample closer to the toe of the landfill (WW11) detected significant levels of S-VOC .

To help define the extent of the contaminant plume in the westerly direction a shallow monitoring well is proposed to be located approximately even with existing monitoring well MW6 but along the railroad embankment, west of the wetlands. This well will also provide water table elevation data which may help to determine the flow path between the wetland and the Reedy River.

To help determine the extent of the contaminant plume in the north-east quadrant of the Vaughn Landfill one monitoring well is proposed to be located on the Greenville County School District Property near Bramlette Rd.

A mid depth well will be nested with each new shallow monitoring well and with existing shallow wells MW1, MW5 and MW6. These wells will help define the extent of DNAPL. The mid depth wells will terminate at the top of the stiff saprolite layer which accumulated the DNAPL in MW3D or at the first significant confining layer. If the mid depth wells show that the free product is not wide spread, but limited to the area around MW3D, then additional mid depth wells will be installed in the immediate vicinity of MW3D to define the extent of free product in that area.

An additional deep well will be nested with existing monitoring wells MW3 and MW3D. The new deep well will terminate at the top of bedrock to explore the possibility of DNAPL migrating past the top of saprolite.

If the depth difference between the water table and the confining layer where the mid depth well would terminate is less than 13 feet, only one well will be installed at that location. The one well will be a combined well, instead of the proposed shallow and mid depth monitoring wells. The screened interval for the combined well will be from the top of saprolite to the water table.

#### 4.3 Well Construction

Monitoring wells will be constructed by a SCDHEC certified driller, Duke Power Company, Geotechnical Center, Seneca, SC.

Shallow monitoring wells will be constructed by boring with a hollow stem auger to a depth of approximately nine feet below the water table. A 10 foot long, two inch diameter PVC screen will be set to intersect the water table (Figure 6).

The mid depth wells will be constructed the same as the shallow wells except the boring will terminate at the top of the stiff saprolite and a five foot screen will be set at the bottom of the well (Figure 7). The combined well will be constructed similar to the mid depth well except a 15 foot screen will be used and will extend from the bottom of the well to the water table.

The deep wells will be constructed by boring with a hollow stem auger to the top of the confining layer. A PVC outer casing will be set and grouted in place. The boring will then continue through the outer casing to auger refusal. A five foot screen will be set at the bottom of the boring (Figure 8).

Based on the free product assessment in the new wells, additional wells may be required to more closely define the extent of free product in the vicinity of MW3D or other monitoring wells.

#### 4.4 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis

Groundwater samples will be collected using generally accepted groundwater sampling procedures (Appendix II) by Duke Power Company, Scientific Services. In-situ analysis will include pH, Specific Conductance, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen and Redox Potential.

Groundwater samples will be analyzed by Duke Power Company, Laboratory Services, Huntersville, NC, SCDHEC certification # 99005.



Samples will be analyzed for VOC following EPA Method 601/602 and for S-VOC following EPA Method 625. Samples will also be analyzed for the following total dissolved metals following appropriate EPA Methodology: Barium, Calcium, Iron, Potassium, Magnesium, Manganese, Sodium Tin, Zinc, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Nickel, Lead, Arsenic, Selenium and Mercury. Samples will also be analyzed for Chloride, Ammonia, Acidity, Alkalinity, Sulfate, Total Dissolved Solids and Total Organic Carbon.

#### 5.0 SOIL SAMPLING


Soil samples will be collected from the auger borings for all new mid depth, combined and deep monitoring wells. Samples will be collected at continuous depths using a split-barrel sample spoon. Soil samples will be classified in the field using the Unified Soil Classification System, and verified by a S.C. registered geologist.

Based on field inspection approximately three samples from each boring will be selected for field screening. One sample per boring will be selected for laboratory analysis for VOC, S-VOC, cyanide and metals.






|   |
|---|
| DUKE POWER COMPANY  |
| HISTORIC SITE PLAN<br>BRAMLETTE ST. MGP<br>GREENVILLE, S.C. |
| FIGURE 2  |



North



**-LEGEND-**

- CSXT PROPERTIES
- DP SITE
- INVESTIGATION SITE
- PROPOSED SAMPLING LOCATIONS
- PREVIOUS SAMPLE LOCATIONS




DUKE POWER COMPANY  
VAUGHN LANDFILL/BRAMLETTE RD MGP

**SURFACE WATER  
PROPOSED SAMPLING LOCATIONS**

**FIGURE 4**

North




-LEGEND-

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | CSXT PROPERTIES                            |
|  | DP SITE INVESTIGATION SITE                 |
|  | EXISTING MONITORING WELLS                  |
|  | PREVIOUS SAMPLE POINTS                     |
|  | PROPOSED SHALLOW MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS |
|  | MID DEPTH MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS        |
|  | DEEP MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS             |



DUKE POWER COMPANY  
**VAUGHN LANDFILL/BRAMLETTE RD MGP**  
 PROPOSED  
 MONITORING WELL  
 LOCATIONS

FIGURE 5




- NOTES: 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.  
 2. ALL CASING MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.  
 3. WELL SCREEN MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.

FIGURE 6

DUKE POWER CO.


SHALLOW WELL  
 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS



- NOTES: 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.  
 2. ALL CASING MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.  
 3. WELL SCREEN MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.

FIGURE 7

|  |
|--|
| DUKE POWER CO.                         |
| MID DEPTH WELL<br>CONSTRUCTION DETAILS |



**NOTES:**

1. ALL CASING JOINTS HAVE SCREW CONNECTORS.
2. ALL CASING MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.
3. WELL SCREEN MATERIAL SHALL BE SCH 40 PVC.

FIGURE 8

DUKE POWER CO.

DEEP WELL  
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

APPENDIX I  
SCDHEC LETTER



*Charles Cabrera*

P.2 *SHW*



**M E M O R A N D U M**

**To:** Charles Bristow, Hydrogeologist  
 Appalachia II District EQC

**From:** Tom Knight, PG, Manager *(PK)*  
 Geohydrologic Section  
 Water Monitoring, Assessment and Protection Division

**Date:** December 6, 1996

**Re:** CSX Transportation-Bramlette Road Site  
 Assessment Report (9/3/96)  
 Greenville County

**RECEIVED**  
 DEC 11 1996  
 EQC GREENVILLE

I have reviewed the referenced report and offer the following comments:

- The recommendations are acceptable and should be implemented.
- A meeting should be scheduled to discuss the next phase of investigation with both Duke Power and CSX if both parties are agreeable.
- I agree that the current status of the water supply well at the former Coal Gasification plant should be determined soon.
- The free phase coal tar (DNAPL) at well MW-3B is noted. Some process to remove the product as it collects in this well should be devised.
- The vertical extent of the contaminant plume needs to be determined. The product at MW-3B is at a location distal to the gasification plant. Areas where the coal tar may have settled should be evaluated.
- It is not anticipated that the saprolite is impermeable at the site. The DNAPL at well MW-3B is probably moving along the interface between alluvial sediments and saprolite due to a permeability difference at that location. The top of bedrock and the transition zone also should be investigated.
- I recommend that the next sampling event include the parameters dissolved iron and manganese. Apparently strong reducing conditions or direct reduction of the metals are being developed by the degradation of the hydrocarbons (I noticed heavy iron bacteria throughout the wetlands area). Potential toxic effects of these metals on aquatic toxicity should be evaluated.